NASA AAQ Workshop Feedback Form
October 13, 2017

(1) Oral Presentations – Keynote Address and Introduction to AAQ

Very Good	36
Good	5
Fair	
Disappointing	
Blank	1

Comments

too technical. How do these missions align with NASA? what's Next for AAQ?
good way to frame further presentations
always nice to see how quality assurance and space tech are moving forward
did not know what AAQ was much about and this was informative
lovely presentation
awesome rocket videos
great intro
the information on your website, modules and certification was very useful
keynote was a great way to introduce the conference
informative, diverse and reflective (enjoyed it)
online QA training education on correct federal expenditures. Education K-12 will benifit from this positive NASA outlook
I think AAQ should develop mission and vision statements
good overview
SLS was excellent, especially the extra time for Q and A.

(2) Morning Oral Presentations – Future of Aerospace Quality, Six Sigma, Calibrating Success, Right Stuff Space Camp, Cube OS

Very Good	33
Good	7
Fair	1
Disappointing	
Blank	1

Comments

future of Aerospace presentation was fair
good mix of different aspects
most were very interesting
very interesting take on quality assurance
six Sigma was not very informative
Varieties are really good
exciting and educational
future of aerospace, six sigma and Cube OS was very well done
very interesting, good variety
the future of aerospace quality is an excellent example of what worries students when leaving to work in aerospace industry
enjoyed the mixture of talks
generally good except for six sigma talk - does not understand the nature of university satellite program
six sigma was very unorganized and had no aerospace experience
informative and varied
VERY interesting topics - I enjoyed how provocative some of the topics were
correct frameworks in problem solving. inclusion software benefits with correct payload success rate not C code.
Cube OS was cool
very diverse
lots of ideas to expand on
Good idea to introduce outside talks. Maybe require full abstracts (and publish in program) to better vet>

(3) Poster Presentations

Very Good	27
Good	13
Fair	
Disappointing	
Blank	2

Comments

posters were professional and the presenters were engaging.

broad range of topics (good thing)

presenters should make more of an effort to be present at posters

I know that logistics is different but I don't think posters/lunch should be at the same time IMO

different areas are really amazing

diverse and instructive

very approachable and gave great advice on further reading

informative and great for networking

I really enjoyed talking to students and presenters about their work

Great diversity of projects

wonderful networking section and extremely informative

overall, Fair, CUBE OS was excellent however

posters provide avenue toward forward related QA areas

very open and friendly, wrapped up too soon though

great variety

some were too technically difficult to understand

Need more summaries for people who don't have loop knowledge of the particular subject

breaks between sessions to view the posters and for coffee would be better than the 2 hour break poster presentation.

(4) ESD Verification mini-tutorial

Very Good	22
Good	12
Fair	2
Disappointing	
Blank	6

Comments

it was an in-depth technical overview
Important Topic (well done)
always important and engaging
the video demonstration comparing different materials was very helpful
very informative
extremely informative, very practical and useful
tutorial is eye-opening for ESD safety
very informative, Increases chance for success with very easy steps
too detailed
excellent topic
the demonstration of the logs(?) was incredible
would have liked to hear more about mitigation after launch
good choice of topic and presentation
it was interesting to hear about recent issues regarding ESD
enjoyed this session

(5) Afternoon Oral Presentations - Challenges in Deep Space, Alternate Materials, Remote Sensing STEM Programs and Integrating Open Source.

Very Good	26
Good	12
Fair	
Disappointing	
Blank	4

Comments

a variety of topics presented and the implications for quality were provided
very interesting
the talks gave a preview of how this fits into various domains
again, great presentations, lots of interesting perspectives on quality assurance
very interesting and appropriately related to quality assurance
some had very little to do with quality while others were amazing!
human factors parallels theme inclusion diversity, interdisciplinary topics.
remote sensing was not very engaging
loved the deep space and STEM info
Very uneven, good choice inviting miler space. Iqbal started strong and got bogged down in tables. mesut is a good speaker but talked too generally and spent 15 minutes on social theory instead of getting to his talk topic. Dewayne - entertaining guy
felt like a couple of these were off topic

(6) Logistics and Organization of the Workshop

Very Good	32
Good	5
Fair	1
Disappointing	
Blank	5

Comments

maybe morning and afternoon coffee breaks - especially for networking
a more detailed parking map please...
convenient and easy, plenty of options.
signs in parking lot directing to event location
have short breaks between sessions
good venue, adequate organization
tuesday-Thursday event would be better
Allison Chambers was extremely helpful
great location
conference room and setup were great
from attending last year, this was a much better setup. There is a lot of room this year
excellent job of organizing communicating and accommodating
pick a city whose airport is a southwest hub to reduce travel costs. if one talk ends early please still keep talks to time - give extra q and A time so it runs 40 minutes. ends up draining energy.

(7) Regarding attending a future NASA AAQ Workshop:

Definitely Attend	29
Might Attend	7
Not Attend	1
Blank	5

(8) Regarding the travel stipend:

Essential	22
Not Essential	11
Not Important	3
Blank	6

(9) Regarding publicizing AAQ and stimulating its use and interaction, please suggest any groups, organizations, individuals or listservs that we can reach to:

ETD listserv
establishing a consortium and going after various funding agencies to systematically study and develop the AAQ spectrum. Mesut Akdere is interested in being an expert user
any and all AIAA conferences would be good locations to offer presentations or a booth
ASEE
lockheed supplier Conf-littleton, CO, Aerospace Corp - Elsegundo , CA Small Sat- Logan, Utah
IEEE, ATMA
Parker Swanson - Linn benton Community College - swansop@linnbenton.edu
CAP (civil Air Patrol) STScI (Space Telescope science institute)
Space Grant meetings
Cube sat Developers workshop, Cal Poly
SEEC, ETHOS science center, space camp for educators
SEDS - Canada Ascension Conference
SWE - society of women engineers and NSBE - National society of black engineers to improve diversity representation

(10) Are you or your group willing to provide:

Materials	11
Feedback	12
Test the certification system	11

(11) Name

Materials

Mesut Akdere
Fredricka Brown
Florian Mosic
Name N/A
Bob Vermillion
Alec Johnson
Mark Horvath
Christopher Murray
Carl Brandon
Adityapal Bhatia
Seth Dennis
Sandy Antunes

Feedback

- Mesut Akdere
- Ahmed Khan
- Fredricka Brown
- Florian Mosic
- name N/A
- Christopher Murray
- Kouroush Jenab
- Carl Brandon
- Lisa Nyers
- Richard Hall
- Bill Shaw
- Sandy Antunes

Test the cert. system

Janet Sanders	
Mesut Akdere	
Fredricka Brown	
Theodore Grosch	
Florian Mosic	
Christopher Murray	
Kouroush Jenab	
Adityapal Bhatia	Sandy Antunes
Richard Hall (304 561 4803)	Bill Shaw

(12)Please suggest any topics or format changes for the next AAQ Workshop or give any other feedback you wish:

Reduce lunch break by half an hour, but provide 3 ten minute breaks between presentations. Would have been nice to provide a tour of space center at UAH
3 one hour breaks that might increase investment in both workshop presentations
it would be nice to see presentation of a full case study
if the next workshop is in Huntsville, we should get a tour of the NASA facilities for a short while.
make presentations available to attendees. Invite tier 1 or tier 2 suppliers to present industry challenges and successes from a quality perspective. Also add discussion covering cubesat failure rate and what caused failure. are failures caused by low quality components?
this is working well
managing risk on a cubesat program across multiple collaborators
mid break in the morning and mid break afternoon.
a small biography of the presenters would be really nice and hopefully the presentations and posters will be emailed to the participants of the workshop.
space environment details?
thank you for the opportunity to learn and share! truly a wonderful experience
shorten the talks from half hour talks to 20 min talks and 15 minutes q and A. better for participation and attention span. Several talks already ran short. also set aside a 30 minute poster plug session where each poster presenter gets 1 minute to briefly explain their topic. enforcing the time limit as a challenge/game usually works well
if possible, I would enjoy hearing more talks from groups with successful launches